

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/836,501	04/17/2001	Lawrence M. Kaplan	N0089US	8539
FRANK J. KOZAK			EXAMINER	
NAVIGATION TECHNOLOGIES CORP.			LOUIS JACQUES, JACQUES H	
	SUITE 900		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
222 MERCH	ANDISE MART P	LAZA DRIVE	DATE MAILED: 08/04/2003	18

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/836,501	KAPLAN, LAWRENCE M.				
7.av.66. y 7.6.6.	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jacques H. Louis-Jacques	3661				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the c rrespondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 22 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if simely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without canceling NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.				
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment				
 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ required the application in condition for allowance be application. 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 	ecause: See Continuation Sheet.	·				
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: 18 and 19.						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>8-17 and 20-23</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)⊡ approved or b)⊡ disapp	roved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	— Control				
10. Other:		Acques H. Louis-Jacques Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3661				





Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The prior art is still applicable. The examiner understands applicant's position and interpretation of the prior art. However, it is still the examiner's position that the prior art teach the claimed limitations. For example, on page 2 of the response, Applicant made two references to the Liu patent (col. 1, line 63 to col. 2, lin 2 and col. 4, lines 3-9). In both of these references as reproduced by Applicant, production of a map and a map database are obtained or reproduced by combining information from individual clients. In the other portion of Liu, as reproduced by Applicant, navigation or street map and coverage map stored in the storage device are used. It is clear that Liu patent covers the navigation services of the claimed invention.